DURING THE 1970s, after the Vietnam War, a self-identity malaise befell the U.S. Armed Forces. Pacifism, self-indulgence, and egalitarian multiculturalism supplanted selfless patriotism as core values across the spectrum of American social institutions. Key segments of society publicly expressed contempt for any notion of service as an obligation of citizenship, including patriotic service in the military. This view became commonplace throughout the Nation's educational system, religious organizations, institutions of higher learning, and among influential members of society, including political figures, members of the media, and entertainment-industry luminaries. In the then-prevailing environment, the military and the warrior ethos it represented were publicly ridiculed and blamed. Marxist revisionist historians, then dominating the Nation’s campuses, laid the world’s social and economic inequalities and injustices at the Pentagon’s door.

Because of the unpopularity of military service and the dominant influence 1960s counterculture attitudes had on U.S. social and political agendas, the military shied from publicly identifying themselves as legitimate and necessary instruments of violence under authorized state control, adopting recruiting strategies that avoided appeals to the warrior spirit, patriotism, or the obligations of citizenship. They emphasized personal self-advancement and promotion. As a result, the public did not see the military as a noble institution standing guard over the Nation, but as the employer of last resort for members of society who had no other options for employment—a public-works program for America’s least-talented citizens.

The adverse influence of these views rippled through the military. Military training regimens came to reflect the watered-down values of society. Physical training standards were lowered, dress standards were relaxed, and disciplinary problems, including rampant drug abuse, were virtually tolerated.

The tendency of dominant political leaders to view military intervention as passé exacerbated the problem. The military was seen more as an instrument of social engineering than an instrument of national power that should be kept finely maintained and honed.
The 1970s were onerous and bitter for the American Samurai—the career men and women in uniform who viewed their service in the military and devotion to country as a calling, not just an occupation. Among them were those who had fought with valor in Vietnam and other conflicts and who, with bitterness and resentment, saw the dry rot of the “me generation’s” shallow hedonism eating away at society and their service’s bedrock values. The predictable consequence was that such individual values translated into a failure to maintain military readiness and, ultimately, the failure to accomplish military missions on the field of battle.

**Operation Eagle Claw**

Operation Eagle Claw, the infamous “Desert One” Iranian Hostage rescue attempt that occurred in 1979, was a disaster. The operation involved an ad hoc force of brave, well-intentioned but ill-equipped and ill-trained personnel from the U.S. Navy, Air Force, Army Special Forces, and the Marine Corps. In congressional testimony, Chief of Staff of the Army General Edward “Shy” C. Meyer declared he was presiding over a “hollow” Army. Congressional leaders were shocked into recognizing that the military had fallen into a state where it was no longer capable of performing many of its basic missions.

Under the new administration’s leadership, congressional and military leaders began restoring the Nation’s military capabilities by appointing unvarnished “warriors,” notably short on political correctness, to positions of high authority. In 1980, General Alfred M. Gray became the new USMC commandant. His appointment signaled a renaissance of the warrior ethic throughout the services.

Gray, a warriors’ warrior, began immediately to revitalize the USMC warrior ethic by reintroducing bayonet training as part of the basic package for all Marine recruits, supplementing this training with physically demanding martial skills that simulated one-on-one combat. The purpose of this bruising, demanding training was to reemphasize the importance of physical and competitive aggressiveness as a core element of the warrior ethos.

Gray also introduced measures aimed at molding the USMC’s intellectual and spiritual dimension. He established a required-reading program on subjects related to the art of warfare, challenging Marines to think deeply and broadly about the future environment in which they would have to ply martial skills. He directed the reprinting and distribution of the USMC’s 1940 Small Wars manual that dealt with insurgencies of the type Gray believed would be the most likely kind of conflicts Marines
would face in the future.\(^1\) In addition, he established the USMC School of Advanced Warfare and began the accreditation process for the USMC Command and General Staff College and the USMC War College.

Subsequent commandants continued to build and expand warrior-ethos initiatives and innovations, and in 1991, General Carl E. Mundy, Jr., took what Gray achieved one step further by framing the USMC core values as honor, courage, and commitment, which have become the bedrock of a Marine’s character. In 1999, building on the foundation Gray and Mundy laid, Commandant General James L. Jones introduced the Marine Corps Martial Arts Program (MCMAP), modeled in part after martial arts systems that form the core physical training component of many East Asian armed services. The vision for the program originated during Jones’s tour of duty as a lieutenant in Vietnam where he served alongside Republic of Korea (ROK) Marines and observed with keen interest how challenging physical combative training and a national military martial arts system unified these extremely skilled and fearsome Marines around a shared warrior ethos.

Jones observed that the ROK Marines’ reputation so intimidated Viet Cong and North Vietnamese Army units they actively avoided ROK forces during combat. This insight profoundly affected Jones and shaped his vision of the course the USMC should follow. He concluded that instituting a training program to instill the same close-quarters combat warrior ethos the USMC had when it was first organized was again essential if it was to fully prepare to fight the intensely personal, low-intensity, expeditionary, small wars that military strategists were predicting would dominate the 21st century.

**The Martial Arts Program**

Since its introduction, MCMAP has been tested, evaluated, and refined. It combines the best combat-tested martial arts skills and time-honored, close-combat training techniques with proven USMC core values and leadership training. MCMAP has its roots in the USMC’s formative days when continental Marines were renowned for their skills as sharpshooters operating from the rigging of Navy ships when the tools of the trade of boarding and landing parties were the sword and bayonet. Early on, the Marines’ martial culture and warrior spirit were what made them effective, not their weapons.

During World War I, the USMC trained Marines to be expert riflemen first and foremost. The motto...
was, “Every Marine an Infantryman.” Jones wanted to take the training further, however. He would train all Marines to be one-on-one warriors—masters of modern high-tech combat environments as well as masters of low-tech, hand-to-hand, close-quarters combat. His vision was to take from the best Marine traditions and borrow from the best of other successful martial traditions to create an updated martial-arts system appropriate for current and future battlefields—a martial-arts lifestyle created by Marines for Marines.

Today, MCMAP’s overarching purpose is to mold and strengthen the USMC collective identity, social structure, and culture. It is a martial-arts system that mandates intensive practice with weapons and unarmed techniques, intense physical conditioning, and the use of established milestone standards. In addition to physical conditioning, practical fighting skills, and the confidence such training instills, the system recognizes that brute force applied without a specific purpose is usually counterproductive. Consequently, MCMAP also stresses developing analytical discrimination to judge the appropriate use of force as a situation might dictate, even at the lowest tactical levels.

MCMAP continually challenges Marines physically and mentally while testing and building ethical and moral character by compelling decisionmaking under stressful and punishing circumstances while choosing situation-appropriate force options. As a result, MCMAP engrains a bias for taking the initiative before the enemy does and shaping the battlefield by actions that create opportunities to exploit an enemy’s critical vulnerabilities. The program builds the physical and mental capabilities of the USMC’s “strategic corporals” so in the absence of guidance from higher authority they can better fight the decentralized battle that maneuver-warfare doctrine envisions.

**MCMAP Components**

MCMAP consists of a belt-ranking system with five basic levels—tan, gray, green, brown, and black—that designate user levels. The belts are worn under the camouflage utility uniform. The individual Marine pursues each level for his own edification and to become a stronger link in the USMC chain. The user’s responsibilities include participating in all technique classes, tie-ins, warrior studies, sustaining techniques, and he must participate in the appropriate belt-level drills and free-sparring.

There are two instructional levels, each with clearly delineated responsibilities. Instructor’s belts have a 1/2-inch tan stripe on the buckle side of the belt. Instructor-trainers’ belts have a series of 1/2-inch red stripes on the buckle side of the belt.

MCMAP has three formal components: physical discipline, mental discipline, and character discipline. Each is divided into blocks and presented system-
atically to Marines at each belt level. Many skills specific to one discipline reinforce the strengths of other disciplines, creating a synergistic program with mutually supporting dimensions. In content, MCMAP is predominantly a weapons-based system focusing on rifle and bayonet, edged weapons, weapons of opportunity, and unarmed combat across the entire spectrum of combat.

**Physical discipline.** Physical discipline consists of armed and unarmed combat techniques combined as part of the USMC Physical Fitness Program and is the sinew of what every Marine must be prepared to execute—to seek out, close with, and destroy the enemy by fire and movement or repel his assault by fire and close combat. Training, which is oriented on the battlefield and based on combat equipment, develops a Marine’s ability to make correct decisions while overcoming physical hardship and obstacles under any climatic condition.

The unarmed combat system is a carefully developed aggregation of selected skills from more than a dozen disciplines of fighting. These armed and unarmed techniques have been systematically designed to enable Marines to fight in diverse situations and environments across the full spectrum of conflict, from high-intensity, force-on-force maneuver warfare to stability and support duties.

The program teaches lethal and nonlethal techniques as well as pain-inducing compliance techniques to provide maximum flexibility for adapting to any possible threat level. Marines are taught methodologies for rapidly selecting and using appropriate techniques to fit the situation. Applying the right technique with the least required force to prevent situations from escalating beyond control is especially important in military operations other than war. Selecting justifiable techniques is also important.

Two elements of the physical program support and complement each other: combative arts and combative conditioning. The combative arts are an aggregation of skills that become the essence of what every Marine, regardless of military occupational specialty (MOS), must be prepared to execute in seeking out, closing with, and destroying the enemy by fire and movement and repelling his assault by fire and close combat. Combative conditioning integrates combative armed and unarmed techniques with traditional physical-fitness training, water-survival training, and rough-terrain skills training.

Combative conditioning is designed to mitigate human factors having physically debilitating effects on the human body during combat. Conditioning
MCMAP consists of a belt-ranking system with five basic levels—tan, gray, green, brown, and black—identified as user levels. They are pursued for an individual Marine’s edification. By pursuing them the user becomes a stronger link in the Marine Corps chain. The user’s responsibilities include participating in all technique classes, tie-ins, warrior studies, and sustaining techniques. The user must also participate in the appropriate belt-level drills and free-sparring.

**Tan Belt.** Conducted at entry-level training as part of the transformation process, it requires 80 percent proficiency in basic techniques and basic understanding of USMC leadership and core value concepts.

**Gray Belt.** This follow-on training after entry level, which builds on the basics with introduction to intermediate techniques, requires 90 percent mastery of tan-belt techniques and 80 percent proficiency of gray-belt techniques along with continued mental and character discipline training. Gray-belt training qualifies the user to attend the instructor course and qualify for MOS 8551.

**Green Belt.** For the noncommissioned officer, green-belt training continues development of intermediate-level training and requires 90 percent mastery of tan- and gray-belt techniques and 80 percent proficiency of green-belt techniques as well as leadership and core-values development training and PME requirements. Green-belt training qualifies the user to attend the instructor trainer course and for MOS 8552.

**Brown Belt.** At the brown-belt level, the user continues intermediate-level training and is introduced to advanced techniques. To qualify, the user must demonstrate 90 percent mastery of tan-, gray-, and green-belt techniques and 80 percent proficiency of brown-belt techniques and must be able to teach leadership and core-values training.

**Black Belt (1st degree).** To qualify as a black belt, the user must become a serious student of the martial arts. Advanced level skills training begins in earnest, and the user must demonstrate 90 percent mastery of tan-, gray-, green-, and brown-belt techniques and 80 percent proficiency in black-belt techniques. The user must be a proven leader and mentor. Black-belt proficiency will qualify the user to attend the instructor trainer course and for MOS 8552. The user must become an instructor-trainer to progress any further within the system.

**Black Belt (2d-6th degree).** The user will continue to develop and master all components of the various disciplines and be a proven leader, teacher, and mentor. This program is currently under development at the Martial Arts Center of Excellence at The Basic School, Quantico, Virginia.

**Testing.** Advancement in the belt-ranking system includes meeting mental and character discipline requirements and the prerequisites for each belt level. In addition each Marine must show he has maintained proficiency in the physical disciplines of his current belt as well as the physical disciplines of all previous belts.
allows Marines to fight in any terrain, under any climatic condition, and face the rigors of the dispersed battlefield. Combined, combative arts and conditioning develop a physical toughness expected to translate into mental toughness, producing Marines who possess combat fitness and combative skills to handle any situation they confront.

**Mental discipline.** To cultivate this quality, MCMAP trains for certainty but educates for the unknown. To develop mental discipline and rigor, along with physical-skills training and conditioning, MCMAP mandates and measures the continuous study of the art of war, which includes professional military education (PME) and the professional reading program; Marine Corps Common Skills Training (MBST); decisionmaking training; the historical study of war; the tactics and techniques of maneuver warfare; risk-management assessment; force protection; and the study of USMC history. The intent of cultivating mental discipline is to produce Marines who are capable of understanding and handling the complexity of modern warfare; tactically and technically competent; capable of decisionmaking under any combat condition; constantly thinking and situationally aware; and who possess the virtually instinctive impulse to do the right thing, for the right reason, in the right way.

Situational awareness enables the Marine to perceive tactical and strategic opportunities amid the fog and friction of war and capitalize on them by appropriate, timely combat action. A Marine without such situational awareness is a liability on the battlefield. Philosophy professor Shannon French notes, “We should base our decision on awareness rather than on mechanical habit. That is, we act on keen appreciation for the essential factors that make each situation unique instead of from conditioned response. We must have the moral courage to make tough decisions in the face of uncertainty and to accept full responsibility for those decisions when the natural inclination would be to postpone the decision pending more complete information. To delay action in an emergency because of incomplete information shows a lack of moral courage. We do not want to make rash decisions, but we must not squander opportunities while trying to gain more information.”

**Character discipline.** General Robert H. Barrow said, “Success in battle is not a function of how many show up, but who they are.” To develop and reinforce character, MCMAP emphasizes the study of ethics and respect for and participation in USMC traditions. To train a large group of people to kill while ignoring the ethical and character aspects of such conduct is to create an unruly, dangerous mob. The ethical- and character-development component is therefore imperative for creating a disciplined, capable, and professional military force.

To properly fight the Global War on Terrorism, in which the rules are not always clearly defined, military leaders must reinforce and strengthen the morals and ideals of those trained as warriors to prevent their becoming like the terrorists they confront. Without an inculcated code of ethics, U.S. warriors might win the war and still lose in the court of world opinion if the methods they use are dishonorable.

MCMAP aims to develop self-discipline and self-control to restrain oneself in the heat of the moment and to use force responsibly. Developing the USMC warrior ethos includes mentoring values and shaping a long-term, individual commitment to the USMC and the values it represents. These activities are designed to instill an ethical dimension that places individual achievement in the context of continuing an old, honorable, warrior tradition.

Development activities include leaders engaging with troops and participating in ceremonies and educational activities such as officer and noncommissioned officer (NCO) development case studies, guided discussions, mentoring programs, and social events, with a strong emphasis on common heritage and respect for other customs, courtesies, and traditions that complement the USMC core values of honor, courage, and commitment. Other programs teach citizenship and give counsel on personal and family obligations, safety, and risk management to help Marines become lethal warriors while developing a sense of place and mission, maturity, responsibility to the community, and self-discipline. Character development might be the most critical component in a Marine’s development.

What makes MCMAP a complete program is the synergy of the mental, physical, and character disciplines, all of which are inextricably linked to advancing in the belt-ranking system. Commanders must certify that the Marine meets annual training requirements; has completed the prerequisites of each specific belt level; and possesses the necessary maturity, judgment, and moral character. This ensures that, as a Marine develops
the physical skills to make him a lethal warrior, he also develops commensurate maturity and self-discipline to conduct decentralized missions on the modern battlefield.

**Gaining Respect**

In today’s society, many 18- to 20-year-old youths are members of gangs or participate in other non-productive, destructive groups in search of personal identity, peer recognition, and respect. MCMAP aims to impress on young people coming from such environments that to be respected one must be respectable.

MCMAP clearly defines the USMC ideal of what is respectable behavior to Marines of all ranks. The introductory training in which Marines are immersed during boot camp, Officer Candidate School, and the basic school often has a profound effect—synchronizing the moral compass. However, such training does not complete young people’s transformation. They might need to shed 20 years of counterproductive values and beliefs (good or bad). They need continuous, ongoing training and education to reinforce and complete their inculcation.

French says, “In many cases, this code of honor seems to hold to a higher ethical standard than required for an ordinary citizen within the general population of the society [he] serves. The code is not imposed from outside. The warriors police themselves with strict adherence to these standards. Military units cannot function well, especially in combat environments if the members of the unit are not scrupulously honest with each other. . . . The code of a warrior not only defines how he should interact with his own warrior comrades, but also how he should treat other members of his society, his enemies, and the people he conquers. The code restraints the warrior. It sets boundaries on his behavior. It distinguishes honorable acts from shameful acts [and protects the warrior] from serious psychological damage.”4 The USMC expects its warrior code to enable Marines to be effective warriors and have fewer problems after they return from conflict.

**Mentoring**

Young warriors must have a readily available mentor to help them formulate and solidify morals and values during times of peace so when they are in combat or high-stress situations they have beliefs on which to call, rather than acting impulsively in the heat of the moment. Instilling such beliefs in young Marines gives them a moral compass that will speed their decision process, especially when they are under stress, when time is short, or both.

MCMAP’s purpose is to strengthen the Marine Corps by inculcating a warrior spirit that embraces every aspect of a marine’s lifestyle and actions by combining rigorous mental, spiritual, and physical training in a synergetic program that inculcates Marine Corps values and focuses on mastering unarmed as well as armed combat skills. The result is a warrior imbued with the ability to deal with the moral dimensions of war and the ethical decisions of life and who is capable of winning on any battlefield.

Against the backdrop of modern social changes and norms, this is how the military must prepare to successfully fight the three-block war, ensure success during the final 500 meters of combat, and win the Nation’s future battles. The goal is to make more RESPONSIBLE, effective Marines, and better citizens. Marines deployed around the world are not only warfighters or peacekeepers, they are symbols to the world of what America stands for. **MR**
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