

# Social Network Analysis Research at AFIT

**Dr. Richard F. Deckro**

**Dr. Marcus B. Perry**

**Capt Jennifer L. Geffre**

**Capt Travis J. Herbranson**

**Capt Joshua S. Seder**



**Department of Operational Sciences  
Air Force Institute of Technology**

*The views expressed in this work are those of the authors alone  
and do not represent the views of the United States Air Force,  
the Department of Defense or the United States Government*



# Overview



- AFRL – NASIC – AFIT Partnership
- Overview of AFIT/ENS Focus
- Summary of Past Research
- 07M Thesis Research
- Conclusions
- Questions



# AFRL/HE, NASIC/FCEB & AFIT/ENS Partnership



- AFIT/ENS and AFRL/HE have signed a MOA for behavioral modeling research in support of NASIC/FCEB for three years. November 06 marked the end of the second year of the effort.
  - Researchers gain access to cutting edge problems, subject matter experts, and data support
  - AFRL and NASIC benefit from research as it develops, aid in focusing work, and access to AFIT personnel and students
  - A win-win-win collaboration!
- This will be done through masters thesis and graduate research efforts and doctoral dissertations
- In addition, NASIC and AFIT have instituted a program to sponsor qualified officers and civilian personnel to attend AFIT



# Perspectives




- Descriptive Models
  - **A model that attempts to describe the actual relationships and behavior of a system**
  - The “what is” question
  - For a decision problem, such a model seeks to describe how individuals make decisions
  - Provides insight
  - Perhaps create requirements
- Descriptive, Prescriptive and Predictive Models
  - **A model that attempts to describe the best or optimal solution of a system**
  - The “what’s best” & “what if” questions
  - For a decision problem, such a model is used as an aid in selecting the best alternative solution
  - Provides insight
  - Perhaps create requirements
  - Actionable Options Evaluations

*Models never perform analysis.  
Analysts do analysis, aided by models where appropriate.*



# Overview of Plan





# Some Early Behavioral Efforts



- ***Offensive PSYOP Value Hierarchy*** , Lt Philip Kerchner, GOR 99M, sponsored by AIA/DO2 & JIOC
- ***Malicious Hackers: A Framework for Analysis & Case Study***, Captain Laura Kleen, GOR 01M, sponsored by DARPA
- ***Modeling and Analysis of Social Networks***, Capt Rob Renfro, DSS-01S, sponsored by Intelligence Community Organization.
- ***Aggregation Techniques to Characterize Social Networks***  
Capt Sarah Sterling, GOR- 04M, sponsored by Intelligence Community Organization.



# 05 Efforts




- ***Modeling and Analysis of Clandestine Networks***, Capt Clinton R. Clark GOR 05M,
- ***Adaptation of A Decision Ladder Model to Behavioral Influences Analysis Intelligence Production Process***, Major Ty (Boomer) A. Chamberlain, GOS – 05E.  
(Document is FOUO)
  - This research constructs a model of the intelligence production activities.
  - It can be used immediately to augment units Concepts of Operations (CONOPS) and Mission Overview.
  - The model provide insight to decision makers to make force structuring decisions, organize and structure analysts' activities, develop a training program for new analysts, or identify areas for future research.
- ***Influencing Transnational Terrorist Organizations: Using Influence Nets to Model Factor Weightings***, Major Roy (Frenchie) P. Fatur, GOS – 05E.
  - This study consolidates an array of factors believed to influence the transnational terrorist
  - It suggests a framework for analyzing the interactions and relative importance of each factor to support resource allocation decisions.
  - A comprehensive literature review identified 13 factors having potential influence.



# Clark Methodology Framework




## Multiple Social Network Layers



SNA Individual  
Centrality Measures

## Individual Demographic data

| ID | Name   | Age | Tenure | Education | ... |
|----|--------|-----|--------|-----------|-----|
| 1  | Agent1 | 26  | 8      | HS        | .   |
| 2  | Agent2 | 69  | 16     | MS        | .   |
| 3  | Agent2 | 35  | 10     | PhD       | .   |
| .  | .      | .   | .      | .         | .   |
| .  | .      | .   | .      | .         | .   |
| .  | .      | .   | .      | .         | .   |





# Summary of Clark's Analysis Results



Analysis has demonstrated a broad spectrum of operational questions that could be supported

Technique

Enables

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Discriminant Analysis</b>                           | <b>Operational profiles;<br/>Classification rule (prediction);<br/>Measure of individual influence<br/>Validation of SNA Centrality Measures</b> |
| <b>Information Centrality</b>                          | <b>Measure of Interpersonal Influence based on network topology</b>  |
| <b>Linear Combination, Network Weighting</b>           | <b>Consideration of <i>each</i> informal network simultaneously</b>  |
| <b>Holistic Interpersonal Influence Measure (HIIM)</b> | <b>Measure of interpersonal influence based on individual characteristics <i>and</i> network topology</b>  |
| <b>Network Flow (Maximum Flow)</b>                     | <b>Identify members with greatest potential influence;<br/>Post optimality analysis; Alternate optimals</b>                                      |
| <b>Fuzzy Clique Analysis</b>                           | <b>Identify core of subgroup;<br/>Identify members with influence over key subgroups;<br/>Highlight relationships between groups</b>             |



# 06 Efforts



- *Gauging the Commitment of Clandestine Group Members*  
Lt Doneda Downs, GOR 06M
  
- *Analysis of Layered Social Network*, Maj J. Todd Hamill.  
DSS 06S



# Downs

## Commitment to the Organization



- Tier 1 Attributes
- Tier 2 Attributes
- Tier 3 Attributes
- Measures

Commitment to Organization  
(0.35)

Affective Commitment  
"want to"  
(0.11)

Continuance Commitment  
"need to"  
(0.18)

Normative Commitment  
"ought to"  
(0.07)

Entry  
(0.05)

High Level Leadership  
(0.05)

Collective Identity  
(0.09)

Compensation  
(0.04)

Security  
(0.04)

Strength of Obligation  
(0.04)

Change in Goals  
(0.04)

Recruitment Method  
(0.03)

Leadership Legitimacy  
(0.03)

Variance from Group Norms  
(0.03)

Material Support  
(0.02)

Barrier to Exit  
(0.04)

Prior Existing Relationships  
(0.03)

Factions Among Leadership  
(0.03)


Significant External Connections  
(0.03)

Organizational Prestige  
(0.02)

Duration of Membership  
(0.03)



# Hamill Research Overview



## Underlying Techniques:

Mathematical programming, decision analysis, graph theory, social network analysis



# Hamill Contributions



- **Methods dealing with multiplexity**
  - **Tie Strength**
- **Measurement of gains and losses**
- **New SNA Measures**
  - **RBAP**
  - **Generalized network flow centrality**
- **Multiple extensions of KPP-2**
- **Influence COA methodologies**
- **Accompanying MATLAB programs**



# 07M Thesis Efforts



- *Examining Clandestine Social Networks for the Presence of Non-Random Structure*, Capt Joshua S Seder, GOR 07M.
- *Destabilizing Terrorist Networks and Operations*, Capt Jennifer L Geffre, GOR 07M.
- *Isolating Key Players in Clandestine Networks*, Capt Travis J. Herbranson, GOR 07M.



# Examining Social Networks for Non-Random Structure



## Research Objective

- **Knowledge of underlying edge structure can provide the analyst with answers to the following important questions:**
  - What is the probability that any two actors are connected?
  - Is there evidence of local group memberships amongst the actors? If so, how do we explain this?
- **Problem:** edge structure not directly observable
- **Develop a statistical framework for detecting, characterizing, and estimating non-random structure (in social networks) in the presence of noise**

## Projected Operational Capability


- **Tool application can provide valuable insight into “how” and “why” a network exists**
- **Can aid in the identification of underlying network vulnerabilities**
- **Provides efficient and objective estimates for the dyad probabilities**
- **Can be implemented to detect changes in structure over time**

## Proposed Technical Approach

- **Statistical hypothesis testing framework**
- **Partition vertex set on the basis of exogenous actor attribute information**
- **Formulate likelihood ratio based on null and alternative hypotheses**
  - Measures relative utility of partition in explaining variability in observed adjacency matrix
- **Using observed adjacency matrix, estimate unknown parameters and compute test statistic (i.e. log-likelihood ratio statistic)**
- **Employ Monte Carlo simulation to aid in quantifying the significance level of the test**


## Deliverables

- **Proposed methodology**
- **Thesis manuscript**





# Methodology






- Hypothesis test:
  - $H_0: p_1 = \dots = p_k = p_{12} = \dots = p_{1k} = p_{2k} = \dots = p_{k-1,k} = p_0$
  - $H_a: p_h \neq p_0 \cup p_{ij} \neq p_0$  for at least 1  $h$  or  $i, j$  ( $i < j$ )
- $H_0$ : postulates variability in observed adjacency matrix is unexplainable by the partition
- $H_a$ : postulates variability in observed adjacency matrix is explainable by the partition
- Test statistic: log-likelihood ratio
  - Natural log of ratio of likelihood function specified under  $H_a$  to likelihood function specified under  $H_0$
  - Statistic measures relative utility of partition in explaining variability in observed adjacency matrix
- Monte Carlo simulation used to quantify significance level of the test



# Example



- First 100 actors listed in Sageman dataset
- Open source data on Al Qaeda terrorist network
- Apply to friendship ties
- 16 (2-level) partitions considered in analysis
- Overall experiment-wide type I error rate of  $\alpha=0.05$





# Example



- **Partitions based on:**

- **Date of birth**
- **Clump**
- **Marital status**
- **Children**
- **Place joined the Jihad**
- **Fate**
- **Age joined the Jihad**
- **Criminal background**
- **Year joined the Jihad**
- **Youth national status**
- **Family status**
- **Religious background**
- **Type of school attended**
- **Level of education**
- **Occupation**
- **Type of education**



# Example




| Attribute           | $\hat{p}$ -value | $\hat{r}$ | $\hat{p}_1$ | $\hat{p}_2$ | $\hat{p}_{12}$ | Weight |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------|
| Age Joined          | 0.020            | 5.021     | 0.0108      | 0.0222      | 0.0085         | 0.0243 |
| Clump               | 0.001            | 39.3741   | 0.0333      | 0.0188      | 0.0000         | 0.1902 |
| Criminal Background | 0.001            | 17.3311   | 0.0130      | 0.0553      | 0.0040         | 0.0837 |
| Date of Birth       | 0.006            | 6.3059    | 0.0145      | 0.0204      | 0.0068         | 0.0305 |
| Fate                | 0.006            | 6.6748    | 0.0301      | 0.0124      | 0.0075         | 0.0323 |
| Kids                | 0.002            | 7.9796    | 0.0158      | 0.0216      | 0.0059         | 0.0386 |
| Level of Ed         | 0.001            | 23.6071   | 0.0095      | 0.0238      | 0.0022         | 0.1141 |
| Occupation Type     | 0.001            | 24.9879   | 0.0101      | 0.0236      | 0.0018         | 0.1207 |
| Place Joined        | 0.001            | 9.8076    | 0.0160      | 0.0216      | 0.0035         | 0.0474 |
| School Type         | 0.001            | 8.6639    | 0.0138      | 0.0000      | 0.0000         | 0.0419 |
| Type of Ed          | 0.001            | 12.7106   | 0.0081      | 0.0293      | 0.0073         | 0.0614 |
| Year Joined         | 0.001            | 36.858    | 0.0317      | 0.0187      | 0.0004         | 0.1781 |
| Youth Nat'l Status  | 0.003            | 7.6422    | 0.0161      | 0.0170      | 0.0052         | 0.0369 |



# Example



- **Maximum log-likelihood ratio achieved by partitioning actors by “Clump”**



- **Partition: Clump**
  - **G1: Central Staff/SE Asian**
  - **G2: Arab/Maghreb Arab**
- **95% confidence bounds**
  - **Insight into “quality” of the parameter estimates**
- **Simple interpretation**
  - **Suggests identifiable structure present**



# Future Research




- **Explore other forms of the alternative hypothesis**
- **Method for estimating input probabilities for Bayesian networks**
- **Extend method to consider a count of “event” occurrences between two vertices**
- **Develop algorithm for detecting and estimating changes in the structure over time**
- **Develop methods for estimating the time and magnitude of change in the structure**



# A Layered Analysis of Clandestine Groups: Social, Resource and Operations Relations

Jennifer L. Geffre, Capt, USAF, GOR-07M, [jennifer.geffre@afit.edu](mailto:jennifer.geffre@afit.edu) ~ Advisor: Dr. Deckro



## Projected Operational Capability:

- Aims to identify critical members of networks based on social connections and contributions to operations through critical resources, tasks and knowledge.
  - Lower level (non-leader) individuals may be more critical to operations
  - Lower level individuals may be easier to influence or locate for removal
- Ultimate goal is to create an opportunity for the destabilization of operations and the potential for conducting attacks.

## Technical Approach:

- Individual Criticality Score:
  - Social Criticality – Weighted Affiliation Layers & Centrality
  - Operational Criticality
    - Operations Task Importance – Reciprocal of Eigenvector Centrality
    - Operations Knowledge/Materials Importance - Event Tree and Risk Influence Measure
  - Temporal Local Importance by eigenvector centrality
  - Additive function with weights for layers

## Model Attributes:

- Provides overall systematic methodology
- Collective Model – Multiple facets of network
  - Intermediate results also valuable
  - Final combined score for destabilization
- Draws on various analysis techniques
- Captures SME opinion
- However, potentially data intensive



# Overview



- **Research Objective**
  - **Identify critical members of the network**
    - **Social Connections**
    - **Operational Contributions (Task, Resources and Knowledge)**
    - **Proximity to Locations of Importance**
  - **Use Suicide Bombings & Improvised Explosive Devices (IED)**
- **Model:**
  - **Utilizes techniques from various fields**
  - **Extends those techniques**
  - **Combines techniques into single model**
    - **Aids analysts with identifying potential options for destabilization**



# Member Criticality



- **Preference ranking to destabilize network**
  - **Social Criticality** –
    - **Weighted affiliations between members**
    - **Eigenvector centrality**
  - **Operational Criticality**
    - **Task – Reciprocal of Eigenvector Centrality**
    - **Materials/Knowledge – Event Tree (probability of failure), Risk Importance Measures (reliability impact on operability)**
  - **Temporal Local – Multi-dimensional Centrality**
    - **Who met who, When & Where they met**
    - **Presence at location with no known meeting**
    - **Location Unknown**
  - **Preference Model – Weighted Additive Model**



# Illustration of Method



- **US Embassies in Nairobi, Kenya and Dar es Salaam, Tanzania (August 7, 1998)**

- **Group Responsible:**  
al-Qaeda's East Africa cell
- **Explosive:**  
Ground TNT with aluminum powder
- **Delivery:**  
Suicide vehicle borne IED (VBIED)






# Illustration: Strength of Relationships



## Operational Network Affiliations

| Affiliation    | Ordinal Rank |
|----------------|--------------|
| Reverent Power | 1            |
| Trainer        | 1            |
| Friend         | 3            |
| Group Member   | 4            |






# Illustration: Social Criticality



## Normalized Eigenvector Centrality





# Illustration: Operations Importance




- **Tasks** – Surveillance, Weapons Training, Driving, Bomb Preparations, **Bomb Assembly**, Bomb Detonation
- **Materials** – Funds, **Facility**, Truck, **Explosives**
- **Knowledge/Skills** – Weapons Expertise, **Electrical Engineer**, Surveillance, Suicide Bomber



# Illustration: Operational Criticality



Result based on task, materials & knowledge:





# Illustration: Locations of Interest



## Based on observations – 12 Time & Locations

|                                | Spring 1993      | Summer 1997     | Spring-Summer 1998 | Late July - Early Aug | Attack                     |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Osama Bin Laden                | unk              | unk             | Pakistan           | unk                   | unk                        |
| Mamdouh Salim                  | Khartoum, Sudan  | Khartoum, Sudan | Bosnia             | unk                   | unk                        |
| Ali Mohammed                   | Khartoum, Sudan  | unk             | unk                | unk                   | unk                        |
| Wadih el-Hage                  | Khartoum, Sudan  | Kenya           | <b>unk</b>         | United States         | United States              |
| Abdullan Ahmed Abdullah        | Somalia          | Kenya           | <b>unk</b>         | Kenya                 | Karachi, Pakistan          |
| Khalid al-Fawwaz               | Kenya            | United Kingdom  | United Kingdom     | unk                   | unk                        |
| Muhsin Musa Matwalli Atwah     | Somalia <b>6</b> | <b>unk</b>      | <b>unk</b>         | Kenya, Tanzania       | <b>unk</b>                 |
| Mohamed Sadeek Odeh            | Somalia          | Somalia         | Kenya              | Kenya                 | Karachi, Pakistan          |
| Mohamed Rashed Daoud al-Owhali | unk              | Kenya           | Pakistan           | Kenya <b>2</b>        | Kenya                      |
| Fazul Abdullah Mohammed        | Somalia          | Kenya           | Sudan, Kenya       | Kenya                 | Kenya                      |
| Azzam                          | unk              | Kenya           | Pakistan, Kenya    | Kenya                 | Kenya                      |
| Fahad Mohammed Ally Msalam     | unk              | Kenya           | Tanzania           | Tanzania              | Karachi, Pakistan <b>4</b> |
| Mustafa Mohammed Fadhil        | unk              | unk             | Tanzania           | Tanzania              | Karachi, Pakistan          |
| Khalfan Khamis Mohamed         | unk              | unk             | Tanzania           | Tanzania <b>1</b>     | Tanzania                   |
| Ahmed Khalfan Ghailani         | unk              | unk             | Tanzania           | Tanzania              | Karachi, Pakistan          |
| Hamden Khalif Allah Awad       | unk              | unk             | <b>unk</b>         | Tanzania              | Tanzania                   |
| Kherchtou                      | unk              | unk             | unk                | unk                   | unk                        |
| Abouhalima                     | unk              | unk             | unk                | unk                   | unk                        |


**unk** **7**



# Illustration: Location Criticality



## Results of Location Criticality






# Destabilization Preference



## Preference for influence or removal

| Member                         | Preference Rank |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Fazul Abdullah Mohammed        | 1               |
| Mohamed Sadeek Odeh            | 2               |
| Muhsin Musa Matwalli Atwah     | 3               |
| Abdullah Ahmed Abdullah        | 4               |
| Mohamed Rashed Daoud al-Owhali | 5               |
| Azzam                          | 6               |
| Wadih el-Hage                  | 7               |
| Mustafa Mohammed Fadhil        | 8               |
| Khalfan Khamis Mohamed         | 9               |
| Fahad Mohammed Ally Msalam     | 10              |
| Ali Mohammed                   | 11              |
| Ahmed Khalfan Ghailani         | 12              |
| Hamden Khalif Allah Awad       | 13              |
| Mamdouh Salim                  | 14              |
| Osama Bin Laden                | 15              |
| Kherchtou                      | 16              |
| Khalid al-Fawwaz               | 17              |
| Abouhalima                     | 18              |





# Summary




- **Provides overall systematic methodology**
- **Collective Model – Multiple facets of network**
  - **Intermediate results also valuable**
  - **Final combine score for destabilization**
- **Draws on various analysis techniques**
- **Captures SME opinion**
- **Can be extended to other operational settings**



# ISOLATING KEY PLAYERS IN CLANDESTINE NETWORKS

Travis J Herbranson, Capt, USAF, GOR-07, [travis.herbranson@afit.edu](mailto:travis.herbranson@afit.edu) ~ Advisor: Dr. Deckro



## Projected Operational Capability:

- Disruption targeting methods supporting the Global War on Terror
- A targeting method aimed at disrupting groups in a network by identify key arcs, with the ability to model real world limitations
- A targeting method to identify network member that play a key role in for all network connections
- A method to identify the network members, critical to a predefined group

## Proposed Technical Approach: Continuing Effort

- Examination the mathematical programming knowledge of the isolation set problem
- Realistic approach to the isolation set problem, new model enhance the application
- A dynamic programming and integer programming approach to model the network key player problem
- Modeling a combined approach of the isolation set program and the network key player problem,

## Deliverables

- Thesis
- New theoretical knowledge of the isolation set problem
- Software to find the optimal solution to the isolation set problem
  - Provides interface to a mathematical solver using a math programming set language
- New optimal seeking techniques to solve the network key player problem with respect to structure
- Support software to solve and display the optimal network key player problem
  - Modules to analyze the optimal solutions to determine the 'important' nodes in the network.



# Overview



- **Research Objective**
  - **Disrupt networks to prevent them maintaining operational efficiency and effectiveness**
  - **Identify critical connections of the network**
  - **Identify critical members of the network**
- **Model:**
  - **Isolation set problem**
    - **Problem extended to real world applications**
  - **Key player problem**
    - **Mathematical programming models with provably optimal solutions**



# ISP Model



- **Isolation Set Problem (ISP), Bennington, Bellmore, and Lubore (1970)**
- **Model Input: Groups in a network, the connections between the groups, and the strength of the connections**
- **Model Output: The least cost method to separate the groups, identified in the model input**
- **The solution: the disruption target set  $D$  of arcs or nodes**
  - **Removing the arcs or nodes from the network, separates the groups.**



# Extensions to KPP1 Model




- **Key Player 1 (KPP1) Model, Borgatti (2003)**
  - The set of nodes such that when removed from network causes maximum disruption
  - The disruption effect of KPP1 maximizes the shortest path distance between all remaining nodes
- **Extensions**
  - Formulated and solved mathematical program to optimality of KPP1
  - Reformulated KPP1 to be more operational as KPP3
    - Developed heuristic for quick turn solutions of larger networks
    - Formulated and solved mathematical program to optimality
- **Model Input**: A network of nodes and the connections between them.
- **Model Output**: The set of nodes critical to the structure of the network
- **The Solution**: The disruption target set KP of nodes
  - The disruption effect of KP maximizes distance between all remaining nodes



# Previous Destabilization Preference



- Preference for influence or removal






# Application- Key player problem



- Disrupting the connections for all members






# Application-Isolation Set Problem



- Disrupting the operation facilitators
  - Blue = facilitator






# Summary



- **The take away**
- **Isolation Set Problem**
  - **Proof of Linear Relaxation**
  - **New models of real world limitations**
  - **MATLAB and C++ code to solve and display ISPC and ISP**
- **Key Player Problem**
  - **Proposed previously unknown deterministic methods**
  - **Introduction of new KPP3 model**
  - **Proposed heuristic method**
  - **MATLAB and C++ code to solve and display KPP1 and KPP3**
  - **Statistical testing to demonstrate KPP3H is an effective procedure**




# Overview of Plan





# Influence Operations Chain





---

# Questions?